

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,839	01/14/2004	George J. Jost	11758/232	5094
75	90 09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			BASTIANELLI, JOHN	
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 6			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3751	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			T 0~4		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/757,839	JOST ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John Bastianelli	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirgonial in the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-11,13 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/757,839 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 16 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: The examiner does not understand if "a pilot" is the pilot valve assembly from claim 9 or is this a different pilot. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson US 5,244,179. Wilson discloses a sensor 56, a pilot valve assembly to prevent or allow movement of a diaphragm 22, an arm 64coupled to the pilot valve assembly, and an override control 66 coupled to the arm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,839 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

5. Claims 10-11, 13, 16 and 17, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Wilson US 5,244,179 in view of Gruber et al. US 4,995,585.

Wilson discloses water and the diaphragm is coupled to a pilot. The pilot valve assembly is seen

as a spur gear with a stem. Wilson lacks a DC motor coupled to a shaft, coupled to a cam,

coupled to cam follower coupled to a gear train. Gruber discloses a DC motor 39 coupled to a

shaft 38, coupled to a cam 22, coupled to cam follower coupled to a gear train 20. It would have

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the

DC motor of Gruber in place of the solenoid of Wilson in order to have more accurate control of

the valve.

6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson US

5,244,179 in view of Lee et al. US 4,886,207.

Lee lacks a mixing valve. Lee discloses a mixing valve 1. It would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the valve of Wilson

for mixing as disclosed by Lee in order to vary the temperature of the water valved.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-8 are allowed.

8. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance of claims 1-8: The

primary reason for the allowance of the claims is the combination of a sensor, a motor, a pilot

valve with a gear train and an arm coupled to the gear train and to an override control that locks and unlocks the pilot valve, that allows fluid to flow continuously beyond a predetermined period of time.

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter in claim 14: The primary reason for the allowance of claim 14 is the cam follower having a P-cross-section and the cam in an orifice passing through the cam follower in combination with the device as cited in claims 13/10/9.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 and 13-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 3751

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

September 15, 2005